

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

od

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/036,087	11/09/2001	Mark C. Sapienza	010371-9025-00	2568	
23409	7590 01/08/2004		EXAMINER		
	BEST & FRIEDRICH,	CARTER, MONICA SMITH			
	ONSIN AVENUE EE, WI 53202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	$\underline{\mathcal{C}}$			
Office Action Summary			10/036,08	7	SAPIENZA ET AL	•			
		[	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Monica S.		3722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commo or Reply	unication appea	ars on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this contract period for reply specified above is less than thirty a period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reject period for regular terms adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136( mmunication. (30) days, a reply wi statutory period will ply will, by statute, ca s after the mailing da	a). In no eve ithin the statu apply and wil ause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>16 Oct</u>	ober 2003	<u>3</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This ac	tion is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)[🛛	○ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.								
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 21-28</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or e	election re	quirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Exar	miner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)( 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claimage of the priority of the priority of the act of the a	ty documents he documents he sof the priority ional Bureau (lion for a list of a for domestic pled in the first sof anguage provisor for domestic per for domestic per for domestic per for domestic per documents domestic per documents docum	nave beer nave beer y docume PCT Rule the certif priority un sentence sional app priority un	n received. n received in Application the have been received at 17.2(a)). ied copies not receiveder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or blication has been receiveder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National d. ) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary	PTO-413\ Panar Na/4	e)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>10, 1</u>		5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 3722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kline ('435) in view of Pitts ('349).

Kline discloses a three ring binder (60) comprising a first cover and a second cover and having a plurality of divider sheets (26, 28, 30, 32, 34) having tabs (36, 38, 40, 42, 44) and holes (66, 68) coupled with the cover of the three ring binder and sheets (22), wherein the tabs extend beyond the sheets (as seen in figure 4).

Kline discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing a substantial portion of the second cover being opaque. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to any desired material (i.e., opaque, transparent, translucent, plastics, etc.) for the second cover absent a showing of criticality, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Furthermore, as seen in figure 4, Kline discloses the covers being made of a material that is not transparent.

Art Unit: 3722

Kline discloses the claimed invention except for the binder being made of a transparent material enabling viewing of the tabs when the first and second covers are in a closed position.

Pitts discloses a flexible transparent notebook for holding a stack of sheets comprising a first cover (38), a second cover (39) and a three-ring binder mechanism (50). The transparent thermoplastic material enables viewing of items retained within the notebook. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kline's invention to include transparent first and second covers, as taught by Pitts, to allow easy viewing of the contents within the binder without opening the binder.

Regarding claim 18, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide any desired dimensions for the covers, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claim 19, the sheet holder is a ring binder as defined above.

3. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kline in view of Pitts and further in view of Fournier ('905).

Kline, as modified by Pitts, discloses the claimed invention except for the sheet holder being a spiral-bound notebook.

Art Unit: 3722

Fournier discloses a coil-bound notebook. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kline's invention to provide the sheet holder being a spiral-bound notebook, as taught by Fournier, as an alternative, conventional device for holding the stack of sheets.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-11 and 21-28 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 13-17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 16, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Kline, as modified by Pitts, fails to disclose a substantial portion of the second cover being opaque as recited in newly submitted claim 12. The examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to provide any desired material for producing the covers of the sheet holder, to include a partially transparent or translucent material or completely transparent material. Therefore, the examiner maintains that the material selected by the user determines the appearance of the covers of the sheet holder.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

It is noted that Fournier is used solely for disclosing a conventional spiral-bound sheet holder and has not been relied upon for disclosing any of the other claimed limitations.

Note: The remarks with respect to claims 13-17 are moot.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-

. Application/Control Number: 10/036,087

Art Unit: 3722

0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:00 AM - 4:30  $\,$ 

PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

January 7, 2004

MONICA S. CARTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6